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Publishers are Evil

(say many librarians)

(so I’m giving a librarian’s perspective, not the librarians’ perspective)
What I Don’t Believe*

• SHARE is the only solution; CHORUS won’t work
• Working with publishers is not an option
• Embargoes are never acceptable
• Open publications must be CC-BY

*but many librarians do
Library Goals*

• Enable compliance with funder mandates
• Help increase visibility
  – Of the researcher
  – Of the institution
• Promote open access as a public good
• Decrease subscription costs

*true for most libraries
Three Challenges

• Faculty confusion
• Funding for APCs
• Populating the Institutional Repository
Faculty Confusion

• Multiple mandates
  – Multiple funders
  – Funder and institution
  – Funder and institution and government
  – Multiple institutions

• Identifying acceptable version for deposit
APC Funding

• Where does the money come from?
  – Library?
  – Provost?
  – Elsewhere?

Investigators often do not want to include in grants (or have a hard time predicting how much to ask for)
Populating the Institutional Repository

• 1Science
• Scopus, Web of Science
• Publisher metadata

CHORUS and SHARE don’t help with this
Questions?
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